

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_112459
Title of the Manuscript:	Anesthetic Management of Rare Diseases : Insights from Four Compelling Case Reports
Type of the Article	Case study

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. 3Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Absolutely to meet the needs of the people suffering with rarely occurred diseases.</b>  Yes  No not at all  Yes  Yes  PI include patho physiology other Robinsons author as one of the reference.	3- The modifications were made to ensure a more succinct and scientifically rigorous language in line with your valuable feedback. We appreciate your insightful suggestions and hope that the revised abstract meets your expectations.  6- Regarding your comment on the references, could you please provide more specific details about the type of references you are looking for related to the pathophysiology? Additionally, you mentioned including "Robinsons author" - could you kindly provide the full name of the author or any specific works you recommend? This will help us ensure that our revisions align with your expectations.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate and much useful.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	While typing the manuscript everything is maintained good only the issue with the spacing.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).